

62-5111/2

6 August 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Mr. McCone


1. Attached is the book review on Andrew Tully's, "CIA: The Inside Story," prepared by Sherman Kent and [redacted]

25X1

2. I have now read it twice and believe that my original recommendation was in error, particularly in view of Kirkpatrick's and Sherm's strong disagreement with my original cease-fire. Please note Kirk's memorandum attached. Additionally, my son told me that several of his instructors at the Military Academy had referred this book to him as being "the gospel" at the time I was appointed your Deputy.

3. I now recommend that we go ahead and print the book as an unclassified addition to our "Studies in Intelligence."

STAT



Marshall S. Carter  
Lieutenant General, USA  
Deputy Director

Distribution:

Orig - DCI

- 1 - Executive Director
- 1 - Mr. Kent
- 1 - ER
- 1 - DDCI

EXECUTIVE REGISTRY FILE

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

19 July 1962


MEMORANDUM FOR: Executive Director

SUBJECT : "Unclassified" Comments by Sherman Kent  
on the Andrew Tully Book

1. I understand that the subject rebuttal of the Andrew Tully book is being printed in an unclassified form for attachment to our classified "Studies in Intelligence" in a detachable form. In addition, that 100 copies more are being printed and that all should be ready by the first of August.

2. This is to inform you that the Director and I take a dim view of this procedure. There is simply no point in getting into you know what kind of a match with a skunk. To print this rebuttal unclassified can only result in its immediate public dissemination (which may have been the purpose in the first place) and will leave Tully no recourse but to respond. This then puts Sherman Kent in the wrong kind of match with the wrong kind of skunk, and will serve no useful purpose other than to increase the sales of Tully's book, result in numerous comments, book reviews, letters to the press, etc., and in general serve to continue something that appears to be well on the way toward dying.

3. Accordingly, I desire that you either destroy all of the unclassified printed copies and retain only enough, classified as Secret, to cover our files; or, as an alternative, you may classify the rebuttal Secret and include it in the classified portion of the "Studies in Intelligence."

  
Marshall S. Carter  
Lieutenant General, USA  
Deputy Director

STAT

MSC:blp

Distribution:

Original - Executive Director

1 - AD/NE

1 - DDCI


1 - ER

1 - DCI

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

1 - Col. Grogan

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

Executive Registry
62-31111

25 July 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT : "Unclassified" Comments by Sherman Kent  
on the Andrew Tully Book

1. I would like to respectfully disagree with the views that you and the Director take on this study by Sherman Kent of the Andrew Tully book. In order to make clear why I feel so strongly on this subject, I believe a bit of history is required.

2. In the first place, in my opinion, the Agency has followed an inconsistent program of so-called public relations. Some writers and journalists have been received by the Agency with open arms and provided with background material, or briefings, or meetings with the Director to assist them in their work. Other representatives of the press have been summarily turned down. This is not an appropriate place for an analysis of why these actions were taken, but I believe it could be demonstrated with some research that the favoritism centered around certain publications and individuals. Andrew Tully was one of those who was given cooperation. He was received by the Agency, given a large volume of press clippings about the Agency, and meetings with Mr. Dulles, then DCI, were arranged for him. I believe that the mere fact that he was handed the stack of clippings by Col. Grogan would give him some feeling that the clippings had information that could be considered reliable, and further that the Agency did not object to his writing the book. Further, it has been an open Washington secret for years that the Harknesses received favorable treatment from the Agency in preparing their Saturday Evening Post articles, and as is generally known in the Washington press corps, Richard Harkness had frequent access to Allen Dulles. I am reasonably certain that this was something known to Mr. Tully.

3. Further, even though we constantly state that we never approve or disapprove, confirm or deny material, it is a fact that we make detailed analyses of manuscripts and pass on these detailed analyses to the publishers. Obviously, by the time anything is in manuscript form

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

**SECRET**

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

SECRET

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

very little can be gained in attempting to stop publication and therefore the comments made by the Agency are in the form of "damage control". Yet I would also note that any author reviewing comments that we made would have a reasonable expectation that the portions we did not comment on must have been accurate.

4. Mr. McCone asked me when the Tully book was first published, what I thought about it, and I replied that I thought it was a terribly damaging book from the viewpoint of CIA. I believe that Sherman Kent's review amply demonstrates why this book is so damaging and also why it should not be left unanswered. I would note that except for some reviews by the more intelligent reviewers which have exposed the book for what it is, the only public rebuttal to it was Mr. Dulles' statement on television that it should be called the upside down history of CIA. Obviously it is unwise to get into a public debate on this book, or engage in the type of a match to which you refer in your memorandum. But I do feel most strongly that we should quietly, particularly in the government, and perhaps to a certain extent with publishers and reputable journalists, show that this book is the complete phony document that it is.

5. As you know, the book was on the best seller list for several months. But perhaps further, and much more important, is that it is used by other intelligence services in the United States Government as a reference book, and the fact that the CIA sponsored quarterly, "Studies in Intelligence", has never reviewed it. "Studies in Intelligence" circulates in over 1,000 copies to all of the other intelligence agencies including overseas commands and is a widely read and highly respected journal. The influence of a review by it of this document would be considerable.


6. Further, I would note that the Board of Editors of "Studies in Intelligence" is made up of highly responsible senior officials of the Agency who have given this matter deep and serious consideration over a period of some time. To refresh your memory the editors are Sherman Kent, Lawrence Houston, Herbert Scoville, [redacted] and myself. I frankly think that our judgment is better than Grogan's and I can speak for the group that we bitterly resent his arrogant presumption in trying to superimpose his judgment on ours simply because a matter happens to be unclassified and therefore in his view becomes something that he considers under his jurisdiction.

SECRET

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

7. Perhaps in order to control the situation it might be better to have the review given some classification, and I would point out that the review section is Official Use Only and not unclassified, but I still think the Agency should insure that the proper people see the review. In order not to involve individuals in it, it might be well to remove the names of the authors of the review.



Lyman B. Kirkpatrick  
Executive Director

25X1

**SECRET**

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

From Sherman Kent

To: *Kirk*

*Does Pat know this  
kind of thing is going on  
all ~~over~~ over the  
world?*

*I am going on leave  
this week end. Final  
instructions to the  
printer will have to*

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

*come from you after Friday*

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR

Hand carry  
to Mr. Kirk  
with an  
f + f

too —

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

12 SE 17 Jan 63

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9

Memorandum to Sherman Kent from Walter Pforzheimer - dtd 2 August 1962

re CIA Report No.  (and Tully book) returned to

Sherman Kent 18 January 1963.

Approved For Release 2003/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300010028-9



Approved For Release 2008/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300040028-9

SENDER WILL CHECK CLASSIFICATION TOP AND BOTTOM			
UNCLASSIFIED		CONFIDENTIAL	SECRET
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY OFFICIAL ROUTING SLIP			
TO	NAME AND ADDRESS	DATE	INITIALS
1	DDCI		
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
<input type="checkbox"/>	ACTION	<input type="checkbox"/>	DIRECT REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>	APPROVAL	<input type="checkbox"/>	DISPATCH
<input type="checkbox"/>	COMMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	FILE
<input type="checkbox"/>	CONCURRENCE	<input type="checkbox"/>	INFORMATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	PREPARE REPLY
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RECOMMENDATION
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	RETURN
<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>	SIGNATURE
Remarks:			
<p><i>it had just about decided not to take up your time with this and then Sherman Kent's memo arrived. I think you will see why it feel strongly.</i></p> <p><i>LDR</i></p>			
FOLD HERE TO RETURN TO SENDER			
FROM: NAME, ADDRESS		DATE	
UNCLASSIFIED		SECRET	

Approved For Release 2008/06/12 : CIA-RDP80B01676R001300040028-9

FORM NO. 2-61 237

Use previous editions

STAT